



JN0-694^{Q&As}

Enterprise Routing and Switching Support, Professional (JNCSP-ENT)

Pass Juniper JN0-694 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.pass4itsure.com/jn0-694.html>

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper
Official Exam Center

- ⚙️ **Instant Download** After Purchase
- ⚙️ **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- ⚙️ **365 Days** Free Update
- ⚙️ **800,000+** Satisfied Customers



**QUESTION 1**

Your switch is experiencing a problem where a port that should have only one host connected occasionally shows that multiple MAC addresses are being learned.

Which configuration setting would ensure that no extra hosts can join the network using this switch port?

- A. mac-limit
- B. no-mac-learning
- C. persistent-learning
- D. bpdu-block-on-edge

Correct Answer: D

QUESTION 2

The exhibit shows the BGP configuration for a router. The router should be receiving an identical set of prefixes over its two peering sessions. You want to ensure the router is using both routes for forwarding. Which command will show this information?

```
protocols {  
  bgp {  
    group isps {  
      peer-as 13090194;  
      multipath multiple-as;  
      neighbor 1.2.3.4;  
      neighbor 4.3.2.1;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

- A. show bgp neighbor
- B. show route forwarding-table
- C. show route protocol bgp
- D. show route receive-protocol bgp

Correct Answer: B

QUESTION 3

The exhibit shows part of the configuration for a router. You receive a complaint that the router is not correctly reclassifying all traffic to the best-effort forwarding class when the amount of IPv4 traffic exceeds 10 Mbps.



```
interfaces {
  ge-0/0/0 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        filter {
          input filter1;
        }
        policer {
          input policer1;
        }
        address 10.210.33.131/26;
      }
    }
  }
}

class-of-service {
  classifiers {
    inet-precedence ip_classifier_1 {
      forwarding-class best-effort {
        loss-priority low code-points [ 000 010 011 100 ];
      }
      forwarding-class assured-forwarding {
        loss-priority low code-points 001;
      }
      forwarding-class expedited-forwarding {
        loss-priority low code-points 101;
      }
      forwarding-class network-control {
        loss-priority low code-points 110;
        loss-priority high code-points 111;
      }
    }
  }
}

interfaces {
  ge-0/0/0 {
    unit 0 {
      classifiers {
        inet-precedence ip_classifier_1;
      }
    }
  }
}

firewall {
  policer policer1 {
    if-exceeding {
      bandwidth-limit 10m;
      burst-size-limit 2k;
    }
    then forwarding-class best-effort;
  }
  filter filter1 {
    term 1 {
      from {
        precedence 101;
      }
      then {
        count term1;
        forwarding-class expedited-forwarding;
      }
    }
    term 2 {
      from {
        forwarding-class-except best-effort;
      }
      then {
        policer policer1;
        count term2;
      }
    }
    term 3 {
      from {
        forwarding-class best-effort;
      }
      then count term3;
    }
  }
}
```

You have isolated the problem to traffic with the IP precedence bits set to the binary value 101. Which configuration is causing this behavior?

- A. the filter firewall filter's term 1
- B. the filter firewall filter's term 2
- C. the ip_classifier_1 classifier
- D. the policer1 policer

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 4

An end user on interface ge-0/0/1.0 is trying to receive a multicast stream for 232.0.0.1 sourced from but is not receiving it. You use the show igmp group command and do not see this group in the list. You enable traceoptions for IGMP and find the following IGMPv3 report from the end user's host: Jun 10 13:11:03.577641 RCV IGMP V3 Report len 16 from 192.168.1.13 intf ge-0/0/1.0 Jun 10 13:11:03.577984 Records 1 Jun 10 13:11:03.578027 Group 232.0.0.1, type IS_EX, aux_len 0, sources 0

Which configuration change is required to allow the group to be added in the router?

- A. set routing-options multicast ssm-groups 232.0.0.1/32
- B. set routing-options multicast asm-override-ssm



C. set protocols igmp interface ge-0/0/1.0 promiscuous-mode

D. set protocols igmp interface ge-0/0/1.0 group-limit 2

Correct Answer: B

QUESTION 5

```
-- Exhibit -policy-statement test_route_filter {
```

```
term 1 {
```

```
from {
```

```
route-filter 192.168.0.0/16 longer;
```

```
route-filter 192.168.1.0/24 longer {
```

```
metric 5;
```

```
accept;
```

```
}
```

```
route-filter 192.168.0.0/8 orlonger accept;
```

```
}
```

```
then {
```

```
metric 10;
```

```
accept;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
term 2 {
```

```
then {
```

```
metric 20;
```

```
accept;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
-- Exhibit -
```

Click the Exhibit button.



Given test route 192.168.1.0/24 and the configuration shown in the exhibit, what is the expected result?

- A. accepted with metric of 5
- B. accepted with metric of 10
- C. accepted with metric of 20
- D. rejected

Correct Answer: C

[Latest JN0-694 Dumps](#)

[JN0-694 Study Guide](#)

[JN0-694 Braindumps](#)