350-201 Q&As

Performing CyberOps Using Cisco Security Technologies (CBRCOR)

Pass Cisco 350-201 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

https://www.pass4itsure.com/350-201.html

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Cisco Official Exam Center

- **Instant Download** After Purchase
- **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- **365 Days** Free Update
- **800,000+** Satisfied Customers

QUESTION 1

Which action should be taken when the HTTP response code 301 is received from a web application?

A. Update the cached header metadata.

B. Confirm the resource\\'s location.

C. Increase the allowed user limit.

D. Modify the session timeout setting.

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 2

Which bash command will print all lines from the “colors.txt” file containing the non case-sensitive pattern “Yellow”?

A. grep -i “yellow” colors.txt

B. locate “yellow” colors.txt

C. locate -i “Yellow” colors.txt

D. grep “Yellow” colors.txt

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 3

Refer to the exhibit. What is the connection status of the ICMP event?

Distribution Port/ICMP Code	Message	Classification	Application Protocol	Client	Application Risk	Business Relevance	Access Control Rule
80 (http) / tcp	STREAMS_DATA_ON_SYN (129:2:2)	Generic Protocol Command Decode	ICMP	ICMP client	Medium	Medium	rule
80 (http) / tcp	STREAMS_DATA_ON_SYN (129:2:2)	Generic Protocol Command Decode	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	Default Action
0 (No Code) / icmp	PROTOCOL-ICMP Echo Reply (1:408:8)	Misc Activity	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	Allow ICMP
54107 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS TMG Firewall Client long host entry exploit attempt (3:19187:7)	Attempted User Privilege Gain	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
49367 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
57477 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
54879 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
60999 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
52240 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
54359 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
52489 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
60169 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
52250 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
52485 / up	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
49940 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
57214 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
51608 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
52652 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
55528 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
61222 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
55640 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected(1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	
55991 / udp	PROTOCOL-DNS dns response for rtc 1918 192.168/16 address detected (1:15935:7)	Potential Corporate Policy Violation	DNS	DNS client	Very Low	Very High	

A. blocked by a configured access policy rule

B. allowed by a configured access policy rule

C. blocked by an intrusion policy rule

D. allowed in the default action

Correct Answer: B

QUESTION 4



Refer to the exhibit. An engineer received a report that an attacker has compromised a workstation and gained access to sensitive customer data from the network using insecure protocols. Which action prevents this type of attack in the future?

A. Use VLANs to segregate zones and the firewall to allow only required services and secured protocols

B. Deploy a SOAR solution and correlate log alerts from customer zones

C. Deploy IDS within sensitive areas and continuously update signatures

D. Use syslog to gather data from multiple sources and detect intrusion logs for timely responses

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 5



Analysis Report

ID	12cbeee21b1ea4	Filename	fpzryrf.exe
OS	7601.1898.amd64fre.win7sp1_gdr.150316-1654	Magic Type	PE32 executable (GUI) Intel 80386, for MS Windows
		Analyzed As	exe
Started	7/29/16 18:44:43	SHA256	e9ca08a3cc2f8c9748a9e9b304c9f5a16d830066e5467d3dd5927be36fec47da
Ended	7/29/16 18:50:39		
Duration	0:05:56	SHA1	a2de85810fd5ebcf29c5da5dd29ce03470772ad
Sandbox	phl-work-02 (pilot-d)	MD5	dd07d778edf8d581ffaadb1610aaa008

Warnings

Executable Failed Integrity Check

Behavioral Indicators

CTB Locker Detected	Severity: 100	Confidence: 100
Generic Ransomware Detected	Severity: 100	Confidence: 95
Excessive Suspicious Activity Detected	Severity: 90	Confidence: 100
Process Modified a File in a System Directory	Severity: 90	Confidence: 100
Large Amount of High Entropy Artifacts Written	Severity: 100	Confidence: 80
Process Modified a File in the Program Files Directory	Severity: 80	Confidence: 90
Decoy Document Detected	Severity: 70	Confidence: 100
Process Modified an Executable File	Severity: 60	Confidence: 100
Process Modified File in a User Directory	Severity: 70	Confidence: 80
Windows Crash Tool Execution Detected	Severity: 20	Confidence: 80
Hook Procedure Detected in Executable	Severity: 35	Confidence: 40
Ransomware Queried Domain	Severity: 25	Confidence: 25
Executable Imported the IsDebuggerPresent Symbol	Severity: 20	Confidence: 20

Refer to the exhibit. Cisco Advanced Malware Protection installed on an end-user desktop has automatically submitted a low prevalence file to the Threat Grid analysis engine for further analysis. What should be concluded from this report?

A. The prioritized behavioral indicators of compromise do not justify the execution of the "ransomware" because the scores do not indicate the likelihood of malicious ransomware.

B. The prioritized behavioral indicators of compromise do not justify the execution of the "ransomware" because the scores are high and do not indicate the likelihood of malicious ransomware.

C. The prioritized behavioral indicators of compromise justify the execution of the "ransomware" because the scores are high and indicate the likelihood that malicious ransomware has been detected.

D. The prioritized behavioral indicators of compromise justify the execution of the "ransomware" because the scores are low and indicate the likelihood that malicious ransomware has been detected.

Correct Answer: C

Latest 350-201 Dumps 350-201 VCE Dumps 350-201 Exam Questions